IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI DELTA DIVISION

RODERICK SIMPSON,

PETITIONER

v.

No. 2:04CV317-P-B

DONALD CABANA, ET AL.

RESPONDENTS

ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT OR ORDER

This matter comes before the court on the petitioner's motion for reconsideration of the

court's June 1, 2005, final judgment dismissing the instant petition for a writ of habeas corpus. The

court interprets the motion, using the liberal standard for pro se litigants set forth in Haines v.

Kerner, 404 U.S. 519 (1972), as a motion for relief from a judgment or order under FED. R. CIV. P.

60. An order granting relief under Rule 60 must be based upon: (1) clerical mistakes, (2) mistake,

inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, (3) newly discovered evidence, (4) fraud or other

misconduct of an adverse party, (5) a void judgment, or (6) any other reason justifying relief from

the operation of the order. The court finds the petitioner has neither asserted nor proven any of the

specific justifications for relief from an order permitted under Rule 60; the court finds further that

the petitioner has not presented "any other reason justifying relief from the operation" of the

judgment. As such, the petitioner's request for reconsideration is **DENIED**

SO ORDERED, this the 27th day of March, 2006.

/s/ W. Allen Pepper, Jr.

W. ALLEN PEPPER, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE